

EUROPE.

ITALY.

THE APPROACHING MEETING OF PARLIAMENT—
ANOTHER NOTE FROM THE ITALIAN GOVERNMENT.
BY ATLANTIC TELEGRAPH TO THE TRIBUNE.

LONDON, Nov. 21.—Evening.—Dispatches received from Florence to-day state that the Italian Parliament will convene on the 5th of the ensuing month. Baron Rattazzi, formerly the Prime Minister, is certain to be named President of the popular branch of the Parliament. It is said that Gen. Menabrea, the present Prime Minister, has issued another note wherein the action of France in invading the Papal States is severely denounced.

ROME.

FRESH GARIBOLDIAN OUTBREAKS.
ROME, Nov. 22.—Some fresh outbreaks have lately been made across the Papal frontiers by small bands of Garibaldians. They were promptly met by the Pontifical troops, and, in all cases, were speedily suppressed.

GREAT BRITAIN.

THE SUPPLY BILL.

LONDON, Nov. 21.—Evening.—The annual supply bill was passed in the House of Commons this evening.

THE REPORTED SUBMISSION OF TORTOLA A HOAX.

Nov. 22.—Noon.—The Colonial Office is at length in receipt of dispatches from Government officials in the West Indies relative to the alleged Tortola disaster, indicating that the accounts heretofore published have been greatly exaggerated. While it is true that the West India Islands were visited by a severe hurricane near the close of last month, there is no evidence that Tortola suffered any more or in any other way than the other islands in that quarter.

SAFETY OF DR. LIVINGSTONE.

Dispatches containing the gratifying intelligence of the safety of Dr. Livingstone, the celebrated traveler and explorer, have been received in this city to-day. The particulars received are few, but the Doctor is known to have been safe and well in April last. He was then exploring the Wastes of Africa, hundreds of miles from the sea-coast.

THE HONDURAS INTER-OCEANIC RAILWAY.

In the House of Commons this evening Lord Stanley, the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, stated that the English Government had not promised, nor was it in any way bound to guarantee, the bonds of the Inter-oceanic Railway Company of Honduras.

PREPARATIONS FOR THE FUNERALS OF THE CONDEMNED FENIANS.

Preparations are being made in this city to observe in a peculiar manner the obsequies of the Fenians who are to suffer the death penalty to-morrow. On Sunday a funeral procession, with hearse and all the usual mourning devices, will be organized and march to Hyde Park, where a solemn meeting will be held.

REPORTED PROPOSAL OF THE UNITED STATES TO PURCHASE THE HUDSON BAY PROPERTY.

Reports that propositions have been received from the United States for the purchase of the Hudson's Bay property has caused a rise in the shares of the Company.

THE MANCHESTER RIOTERS—EFFORTS IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS TO SAVE THEIR LIVES.

In the House of Commons last night an effort was made to save the lives of the Manchester rioters. John Francis Maguire, the member for the City of Cork, moved that the House interfere for a stay in the execution of the sentence of the Fenian convicts at Manchester and that meanwhile the points of law upon which he based his resolution be referred to the twelve highest judicial authorities of the realm.

THE MANCHESTER RIOTERS—EFFORTS IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS TO SAVE THEIR LIVES.

The motion gave rise to a long and earnest discussion. Mr. Maguire was supported by Henry Fawcett, the member for Brighton, and Sir George Bowyer, but Messrs. Hardy, Gladstone, and Kingslake made strong speeches against the motion, and it was finally withdrawn by its author, the opinion of the House being manifestly against any further consideration of the subject.

PETITION TO THE QUEEN IN BEHALF OF THE FENIANS—THE QUEEN DECLINES TO GRANT IT.

Evening.—To-day, the Committee appointed by the meeting of last evening presented to the Queen at Windsor a petition for the postponement of the executions at Manchester. Her Majesty, in a most direct manner, declined to grant the prayer of the petitioners.

A DEMONSTRATION IN LONDON AGAINST THE EXECUTION.

An orderly demonstration against the execution of the Fenians took place last night. A large number of persons assembled at one of the public halls, and passed resolutions urging the Government to grant a stay of proceedings. A committee was appointed to draw up and present a petition for mercy to the Queen.

GREAT EXCITEMENT IN MANCHESTER.

MANCHESTER, Nov. 22.—The city has the appearance of being in a state of siege. Most extraordinary precautions are taken by the Government. Barricades have been erected in the streets for the protection of the troops. Regular infantry are stationed at different points in the city, and troops of cavalry, which have lately arrived, are patrolling the streets. Two or three thousand special policemen, who have been sworn in by the municipal authorities, are distributed among the police stations, where they are receiving their arms. Clergymen have been summoned to the jail, and have been cloistered with the condemned prisoners during the greater part of the day. Everything indicates, beyond a doubt, that the Government is determined not to pardon or commute the sentences of the Fenians, and resolutions to that effect were passed.

A DEMONSTRATION IN BIRMINGHAM.

BIRMINGHAM, Nov. 22.—A large public meeting was held here yesterday to protest against the hanging of the Manchester Fenians. Some disorder occurred, and riotous demonstrations were made at the conclusion of the meeting.

A BITTER ANTI-FENIAN FEELING—ANTICIPATION OF RIOTS.

Evening.—The tumultuous proceeding at the meeting in favor of the Manchester rioters last night produced great excitement, and the agitation is increasing to-day. A bitter anti-Fenian feeling prevails among the larger portion of the population, and serious riots are feared.

THE NEW ARMY BILL.

PARIS, Nov. 22.—At the session of the Corps Legislatif yesterday, the new Army bill, which has been prepared by the Minister of War, was introduced. The measure is based upon, and in its general features resembles, the law of 1852. One of its provisions increases the term of military service to nine years. The Emperor of Austria has signified to the Emperor Napoleon his willingness to join the General European Conference proposed by France.

UTTERANCES OF THE OFFICIAL BLUE BOOK.

Evening.—The Official Blue Book, published by the French Government, has appeared, and from its pages the following paragraphs are extracted:
The Government will soon fix the time for the return of the French troops from Italy.

The Sultan of Turkey, though he has declined to adopt the course advised by France, is endeavoring to restore tranquility and peace to the Island of Candia.

The relations of France with the United States have regained their usual warmth, France following her old traditions, beholds with true sympathy the efforts made in America to efface the traces of civil war.

THE CONVENTION.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

ALBANY, Nov. 22.—Upon counting heads this morning, it was found that 25 Republican members of the Convention were in attendance, being within five of the number necessary to make a quorum upon their side of the House alone. Twenty Democrats also were present. An understanding has been reached to continue the sessions through Saturday and Monday, and to take no recess until Thanksgiving Day.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. Greeley presented petitions against any action tending to overthrow our Sunday and Excise laws. If any bill had been received to-day at the Convention, it would have been the Commercial Bank of Albany, which had been organized for the purpose of the Convention, on the ground that this Convention was a constitutional body has the right to pass an ordinance directing the Controller to furnish funds for its use. The debate in Committee of the Whole upon the Judiciary was conducted with much vigor, and elicited unusual interest. Judge Van Cott of Kings made an eloquent argument in favor of the life tenure of Judges of the Court of Appeals, and in opposition to their choice by popular election. He contended that the will of the people cannot approach the Bench except in the form of solemn statute. The Hon. Matthew Hale, Senator elect from the Fifth District, also ably vindicated the policy of appointing the Judiciary. Judge Andrew J. Parker expressed himself in favor of having the Chief Justice of the Court appointed by the Governor. An amendment to this effect was carried by a vote of 14 to 14 in the affirmative.

THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONVENTION.

The Convention met at 10 o'clock.
Mr. GREELEY presented two petitions from citizens of New-York against any action looking to the overthrow of Sunday and Excise laws.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. S. TOWNSEND (Dem., Queens) moved to reconsider the vote accepting the proposition of the Commercial Bank to advance the payment of interest. Laid on the table under the rule.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. ANDREWS (Rep., Onondaga) moved that the consideration of the Judiciary be postponed until Monday next.

THE CONVENTION.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

ALBANY, Nov. 22.—Upon counting heads this morning, it was found that 25 Republican members of the Convention were in attendance, being within five of the number necessary to make a quorum upon their side of the House alone. Twenty Democrats also were present. An understanding has been reached to continue the sessions through Saturday and Monday, and to take no recess until Thanksgiving Day.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. Greeley presented petitions against any action tending to overthrow our Sunday and Excise laws. If any bill had been received to-day at the Convention, it would have been the Commercial Bank of Albany, which had been organized for the purpose of the Convention, on the ground that this Convention was a constitutional body has the right to pass an ordinance directing the Controller to furnish funds for its use. The debate in Committee of the Whole upon the Judiciary was conducted with much vigor, and elicited unusual interest. Judge Van Cott of Kings made an eloquent argument in favor of the life tenure of Judges of the Court of Appeals, and in opposition to their choice by popular election. He contended that the will of the people cannot approach the Bench except in the form of solemn statute. The Hon. Matthew Hale, Senator elect from the Fifth District, also ably vindicated the policy of appointing the Judiciary. Judge Andrew J. Parker expressed himself in favor of having the Chief Justice of the Court appointed by the Governor. An amendment to this effect was carried by a vote of 14 to 14 in the affirmative.

THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONVENTION.

The Convention met at 10 o'clock.
Mr. GREELEY presented two petitions from citizens of New-York against any action looking to the overthrow of Sunday and Excise laws.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. S. TOWNSEND (Dem., Queens) moved to reconsider the vote accepting the proposition of the Commercial Bank to advance the payment of interest. Laid on the table under the rule.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. ANDREWS (Rep., Onondaga) moved that the consideration of the Judiciary be postponed until Monday next.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GREELEY moved to lay the motion on the table.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HALE (Rep., Essex) moved to postpone until Tuesday instead of Monday. Lost.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. McDONALD (Rep., Ontario) offered a proposition relative to direct taxation. Laid on the table under the rule.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. VERPLANCK (Dem., Erie) moved to reconsider the vote by which the rules were so altered as to make 25 members requisite for a demand of Yeas and Nays. Laid on the table under the rule.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

The CHAIRMAN announced the pending question to be the motion to reconsider the vote by which the term of Appeals from seven to nine, and the term of office from 14 to 19 years, also making six Judges a quorum, and five a majority.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HARRIS (Rep., Albany) thought that a Court of Appeals composed of seven Judges, elected for a period of fourteen years, were entirely equal to the present number of nine. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. C. A. ALLEN (Rep., Washington) coincided with these views.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. J. PARKER (Dem., Albany) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GRAVES (Rep., Herkese) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. RATHBUN (Rep., Cayuga) held that the number of Judges should be five, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HARRIS (Rep., Albany) thought that a Court of Appeals composed of seven Judges, elected for a period of fourteen years, were entirely equal to the present number of nine. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. C. A. ALLEN (Rep., Washington) coincided with these views.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. J. PARKER (Dem., Albany) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GRAVES (Rep., Herkese) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. RATHBUN (Rep., Cayuga) held that the number of Judges should be five, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HARRIS (Rep., Albany) thought that a Court of Appeals composed of seven Judges, elected for a period of fourteen years, were entirely equal to the present number of nine. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. C. A. ALLEN (Rep., Washington) coincided with these views.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. J. PARKER (Dem., Albany) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GRAVES (Rep., Herkese) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

THE CONVENTION.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

ALBANY, Nov. 22.—Upon counting heads this morning, it was found that 25 Republican members of the Convention were in attendance, being within five of the number necessary to make a quorum upon their side of the House alone. Twenty Democrats also were present. An understanding has been reached to continue the sessions through Saturday and Monday, and to take no recess until Thanksgiving Day.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. Greeley presented petitions against any action tending to overthrow our Sunday and Excise laws. If any bill had been received to-day at the Convention, it would have been the Commercial Bank of Albany, which had been organized for the purpose of the Convention, on the ground that this Convention was a constitutional body has the right to pass an ordinance directing the Controller to furnish funds for its use. The debate in Committee of the Whole upon the Judiciary was conducted with much vigor, and elicited unusual interest. Judge Van Cott of Kings made an eloquent argument in favor of the life tenure of Judges of the Court of Appeals, and in opposition to their choice by popular election. He contended that the will of the people cannot approach the Bench except in the form of solemn statute. The Hon. Matthew Hale, Senator elect from the Fifth District, also ably vindicated the policy of appointing the Judiciary. Judge Andrew J. Parker expressed himself in favor of having the Chief Justice of the Court appointed by the Governor. An amendment to this effect was carried by a vote of 14 to 14 in the affirmative.

THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONVENTION.

The Convention met at 10 o'clock.
Mr. GREELEY presented two petitions from citizens of New-York against any action looking to the overthrow of Sunday and Excise laws.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. S. TOWNSEND (Dem., Queens) moved to reconsider the vote accepting the proposition of the Commercial Bank to advance the payment of interest. Laid on the table under the rule.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. ANDREWS (Rep., Onondaga) moved that the consideration of the Judiciary be postponed until Monday next.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GREELEY moved to lay the motion on the table.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HALE (Rep., Essex) moved to postpone until Tuesday instead of Monday. Lost.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. McDONALD (Rep., Ontario) offered a proposition relative to direct taxation. Laid on the table under the rule.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. VERPLANCK (Dem., Erie) moved to reconsider the vote by which the rules were so altered as to make 25 members requisite for a demand of Yeas and Nays. Laid on the table under the rule.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

The CHAIRMAN announced the pending question to be the motion to reconsider the vote by which the term of Appeals from seven to nine, and the term of office from 14 to 19 years, also making six Judges a quorum, and five a majority.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HARRIS (Rep., Albany) thought that a Court of Appeals composed of seven Judges, elected for a period of fourteen years, were entirely equal to the present number of nine. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. C. A. ALLEN (Rep., Washington) coincided with these views.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. J. PARKER (Dem., Albany) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GRAVES (Rep., Herkese) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. RATHBUN (Rep., Cayuga) held that the number of Judges should be five, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HARRIS (Rep., Albany) thought that a Court of Appeals composed of seven Judges, elected for a period of fourteen years, were entirely equal to the present number of nine. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. C. A. ALLEN (Rep., Washington) coincided with these views.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. J. PARKER (Dem., Albany) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GRAVES (Rep., Herkese) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. RATHBUN (Rep., Cayuga) held that the number of Judges should be five, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years, and that they should be elected for a term of 14 years.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. HARRIS (Rep., Albany) thought that a Court of Appeals composed of seven Judges, elected for a period of fourteen years, were entirely equal to the present number of nine. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. C. A. ALLEN (Rep., Washington) coincided with these views.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. J. PARKER (Dem., Albany) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. GRAVES (Rep., Herkese) favored a Court composed of seven Judges. Five would be an insufficient degree of public confidence. He would prefer to have them elected for fourteen years, and to let the term of office be a matter of course.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

THE CONVENTION.

THE JUDICIARY—DEBATE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON THE COURT OF APPEALS—THE APPOINTMENT AND TENURE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

ALBANY, Nov. 22.—Upon counting heads this morning, it was found that 25 Republican members of the Convention were in attendance, being within five of the number necessary to make a quorum upon their side of the House alone. Twenty Democrats also